## KIPP Empower Academy

# California Department of Education <br> School Accountability Report Card <br> Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## Chinedu Udeh, Principal

- Principal, KIPP Empower Academy


#### Abstract

About Our School

Chinedu Udeh began her educational career teaching fourth grade in Tulsa, Oklahoma through Teach For America., She then moved to Los Angeles and founded the fourth grade at KIPP Empower Academy. While in the classroom, she spent her summers working as a coach, training teachers in instructional and behavioral practices. At KIPP Empower she served as a grade level chair, an instructional coach and later as an assistant school leader. This year she is the School Leader at KIPP Empower Academy. She graduated Cum Laude from Dartmouth College and received her Masters from Teachers College Columbia Summer Principals Academy. In her free time she serves on The Collective Los Angeles Board and the Dartmouth Club of Los Angeles Board. Her commitment to KEA stems from her firm belief in it's mission to empower students to be strong in mind, body, and spirit. This holistic approach to urban education aligns with her belief about the type of education students in South Los Angeles deserve.


## Contact

KIPP Empower Academy
8466 South Figueroa St.
Los Angeles, CA 90003-2729
Phone: 323-516-2065
E-mail: cudeh@kippla.org

## About This School

## Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

## District Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

| District Name | Los Angeles Unified |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phone Number | (213) 241-1000 |
| Superintendent | Michelle King |
| E-mail Address | $\underline{\text { michelle.king@lausd.net }}$ |
| Web Site |  |

## School Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

| School Name | KIPP Empower Academy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Street | Lo466 South Figueroa St. |
| City, State, Zip | 323-516-2065 |
| Phone Number | Chinedu Udeh, Principal |
| Principal | $\underline{\text { cudeh@kippla.orq }}$ |
| E-mail Address | http://kippla.org/empower/index.cfm |
| Web Site | 19647330121699 |
| County-District-School <br> (CDS) Code |  |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

KIPP Empower Academy (KEA) is a college-preparatory, tuition-free public charter elementary school that opened in summer 2010 in South Los Angeles. KEA operates an innovative blended learning instructional model and is a high performing elementary school in Los Angeles.

KEA is part of the national KIPP (Knowledge Is Power Program) network of schools. We are operated by KIPP LA Schools, a charter school management organization. Our mission is to empower our students to be strong in mind, body, and spirit so that they will thrive in middle school, high school, college, and the competitive world.
"Strong in mind" conveys the importance of academic skills (cognitive development); "strong in body" refers to personal habits (physical development); and "strong in spirit" references the character traits we strive to foster (socio-emotional and psychological development)

By cultivating all aspects of a child's development, KEA will prepare our students for more than just middle school; we will prepare them for the variety of challenges they may face in high school, college, and in their careers.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

|  | Grade Level |
| :--- | :---: |
| Kindergarten | Number of Students |
| Grade 1 | 147 |
| Grade 2 | 125 |
| Grade 3 | 112 |
| Grade 4 | 107 |
| Total Enrollment | 90 |



## Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2016-17)

| Student Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | $70.1 \%$ |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | $0.2 \%$ |
| Asian | $0.6 \%$ |
| Filipino | $0.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | $27.5 \%$ |
| Native Haw aiian or Pacific Islander | $0.0 \%$ |
| White | $0.0 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | $0.9 \%$ |
| Other | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| Student Group (Other) | $76.2 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | $10.6 \%$ |
| English Learners | $9.7 \%$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $0.4 \%$ |
| Foster Youth |  |

## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair


## Teacher Credentials

| Teachers |  | School | District |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 8}$ |
| With Full Credential | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 30 | 21842 |
| Without Full Credential | 4 | 4 | 6 | 866 |
| Teachers Teaching Outside Subject <br> Area of Competence (with full <br> credential) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2830 |



Last updated: 2/7/2018

## Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | 2015- <br> $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}-$ <br> $\mathbf{1 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English <br> Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments* | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 1 | 0 |



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Year and month in which the data were collected:

| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts |  |  | 0.0 \% |
| Mathematics |  |  | 0.0 \% |
| Science |  |  | 0.0 \% |
| History-Social Science |  |  | 0.0 \% |
| Foreign Language |  |  | 0.0 \% |
| Health |  |  | 0.0 \% |
| Visual and Performing Arts |  |  | 0.0 \% |
| Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12) | N/A | N/A | 0.0 \% |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

KIPP Empower Academy is inspected on a monthly basis to ensure cleanliness and safety. The school conducts monthly emergency drills in which the whole school practice fire, earthquake, lock down egress drills. As far as facilities, there are monthly walkthroughs done that ensure safety in the classrooms and cleanliness. KIPP Empower Academy recently added pavement to the back lot of the facility, making it safer for families who enter through that entrance.

## School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: June 2017

| System Inspected | Rating | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | Good |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | Good |  |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation | Good |  |
| Electrical: Electrical | Good |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains | Good |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | Good |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | Good |  |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences | Good |  |

## Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: June 2017

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4)

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.


## CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

| Subject | Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11) | 62\% | 41\% | 39\% | 40\% | 48\% | 48\% |
| Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11) | 49\% | 52\% | 29\% | 30\% | 36\% | 37\% |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

| Student Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent Tested | Percent Met or Exceeded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 185 | 185 | 100.00\% | 41.08\% |
| Male | 100 | 100 | 100.00\% | 30.00\% |
| Female | 85 | 85 | 100.00\% | 54.12\% |
| Black or African American | 139 | 139 | 100.00\% | 39.57\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Asian | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Filipino |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 42 | 42 | 100.00\% | 50.00\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |
| Two or More Races | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 161 | 161 | 100.00\% | 39.75\% |
| English Learners | 18 | 18 | 100.00\% | 44.44\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 19 | 19 | 100.00\% | -- |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services |  |  |  |  |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

| Student Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent Tested | Percent Met or Exceeded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 185 | 185 | 100.00\% | 51.89\% |
| Male | 100 | 100 | 100.00\% | 48.00\% |
| Female | 85 | 85 | 100.00\% | 56.47\% |
| Black or African American | 139 | 139 | 100.00\% | 48.92\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Asian | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Filipino |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic or Latino | 42 | 42 | 100.00\% | 61.90\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |
| White |  |  |  |  |
| Two or More Races | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 161 | 161 | 100.00\% | 49.07\% |
| English Learners | 18 | 18 | 100.00\% | 61.11\% |
| Students with Disabilities | 19 | 19 | 100.00\% | -- |
| Students Receiving Migrant Education Services |  |  |  |  |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight and Ten

| Subject | Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) |  |  |  |  | 56\% | 54\% |

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note:The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Last updated: 1/24/2018

## Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)

|  | Measure |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Pupils Participating in CTE | CTE Program Participation |
| Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma | -- |
| Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education | -- |

Last updated: 1/24/2018

## Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| $2016-17$ Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | $0.0 \%$ |
| $2015-16$ Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | $0.0 \%$ |

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

## Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards

## Grade Leve

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

KIPP Empower Academy is committed to involving parents in their child's learning. Parents are encouraged to attend monthly Team and Family Night workshops, parent conferences, Parent Leadership Council meetings as well as volunteer at KIPP Empower Academy. In Spring 2016 parents were involved with the development of 2016-17 LCAP

Parent Leadership Council KEA has established a Parent Leadership Council to assist the school in supporting its mission. The PLC will plan parent and family outreach and engagement events, fundraising, and hold monthly Parent Leadership Meetings. Details are forthcoming about the PLC and other parent events throughout the year.

## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

| Indicator | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Dropout Rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 11.5\% | 10.7\% | 9.7\% |
| Graduation Rate | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 70.0\% | 72.0\% | 75.0\% | 81.0\% | 82.3\% | 83.8\% |



Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016
(One-Year Rate)

| Student Group | School | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | -- | 86.9\% | 87.1\% |
| Black or African American | -- | 82.9\% | 79.2\% |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | -- | 81.7\% | 80.2\% |
| Asian | -- | 89.2\% | 94.4\% |
| Filipino | -- | 90.1\% | 93.8\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | -- | 87.3\% | 84.6\% |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | -- | 88.8\% | 86.6\% |
| White | -- | 86.0\% | 91.0\% |
| Two or More Races | -- | 83.3\% | 90.6\% |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | -- | 87.9\% | 85.5\% |
| English Learners | -- | 38.2\% | 55.4\% |
| Students with Disabilities | -- | 59.2\% | 63.9\% |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- |

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety


## Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Suspensions | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 3.8\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% |
| Expulsions | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |




## School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

A school safety plan has been developed and includes monthly safety drills. This plan was revised and updated in August 2017. The Incident Command System (ICS) plan provides overall direction and sets priorities for an emergency. In operations, the ICS has five functions; management, planning/intelligence, operations, logistics and finance/administration. Staff is trained three times per year and they practice on a monthly basis via emergency drills. A Great Shake Out drill is also planned and executed on a yearly basis. The school is equipped with emergency supplies and emergency food in the event of an emergency. A school safety plan has been developed and includes monthly safety drills. This plan was revised and updated in August 2016. The Incident Command System (ICS) plan provides overall direction and sets priorities for an emergency. In operations, the ICS has five functions; management, planning/intelligence, operations, logistics and finance/administration.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.
Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

|  | Indicator | School |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status | District |  |
| First Year of Program Improvement | Not in PI |  |
| Year in Program Improvement | In PI |  |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | 2004-2005 |  |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | N/A |  |

Last updated: 1/24/2018

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

| Grade Level | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  | 2016-17 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  |
|  |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |  | 1-20 | 21-32 | 33+ |
| K | 28.0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 28.0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 30.0 | 0 | 13 | 4 |
| 1 | 29.0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 31.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 2 | 26.0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 26.0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 28.0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 3 | 28.0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 25.0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 26.0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| 4 | 25.0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 25.0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 22.0 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).


## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

|  | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  | 2016-17 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  | Average Class Size | Number of Classes * |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/24/2018
Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

| Title | Number of FTE* Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor |  |  |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) |  | N/A |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 1.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 0.4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Psychologist | 0.6 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Worker | 0.2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nurse | 1.4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 3.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) | 0.5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/29/2018
Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

| Level | Total Expenditures Per Pupil | Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted) | Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted) | Average Teacher Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Site | \$10996.0 | \$2550.0 | \$8446.0 | \$58259.0 |
| District | N/A | N/A | \$7039.0 | \$73658.0 |
| Percent Difference - School Site and District | N/A | N/A | -41.8\% | -23.4\% |
| State | N/A | N/A | \$6574.0 | \$79228.0 |
| Percent Difference - School Site and State | N/A | N/A | 24.9\% | -30.5\% |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

KIPP Empower Academy offers an ASES funded afterschool program to assist and support our students. The goal of our program is to continue the support students are receiving during the regular school day in a safe constructive environment during after school hours. Our program provides literacy and enrichment activities for 138 students, grades TK to 4. Every student in our program participates in a daily literacy and enrichment component. Our literacy programs provide tutoring and homework help in the core areas of reading, language arts, mathematics, and science. Students use software to make sure they are reading and completing math at their individual levels. Students participate in at least 1 hour daily in a literacy element. Our educational enrichment programs align with the school's academic program and include, but are not limited to, recreation and prevention activities such as football and cheerleading, youth development strategies like performing arts, music, and dance. Other enrichment activities are implemented based on the students' needs and interests.

Last updated: 1/30/2018
Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

| Category | District Amount | State Average For Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 43,913$ | $\$ 47,808$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 70,141$ | $\$ 73,555$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 87,085$ | $\$ 95,850$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) | $\$ 116,684$ | $\$ 120,448$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$ 131,969$ | $\$ 125,592$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 133,725$ | $\$ 138,175$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 350,000$ | $\$ 264,457$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $32.0 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/ .


Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)

| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Computer Science | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Foreign Language | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Mathematics | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Science | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Science | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| All Courses | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

## Professional Development

KIPP Empower Academy holds weekly professional development meetings in which the focus is instructional strategies, lesson planning, collaborative meetings, and standards-based backwards planning. During professional development, a variety of topics including student behavior, special needs and differentiated instructional strategies are discussed. There are monthly workshops and annual seminars attended by all teachers and staff related to long-term planning, crosscurricular alignment, and Common Core shifts. Teachers are supported by having coaching sessions with administration on a biweekly basis.

